arXiv:math/0009109v4 [math.AG] 21 Apr 2001

Generators of the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces

Eyal Markman [1]

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a moduli space of stable sheaves on a K3 or Abelian surface S. We express the class of the diagonal in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ in terms of the Chern classes of a universal sheaf on $\mathcal{M} \times S$ (Theorem 1). Consequently, we obtain generators of the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{M}$.

In Section 4 the surface S is the cotangent bundle of a Riemann surface. We recover the result of [HT1]: *The cohomology ring of the moduli spaces of stable Higgs bundles is generated by the universal classes.*

In Section 5 we concentrate on the case where S is a K3 and $\mathcal{M}$ is the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ of length n subschemes of S. The construction of the set of generators is uniform in n. Regarding the generators as variables, we get a fixed weighted polynomial ring $\mathcal{R}^{[\infty]}$, in infinitely many variables, and a natural graded ring homomorphism $h : \mathcal{R}^{[\infty]} \rightarrow H^*(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$ onto the cohomology ring of $S^{[n]}$. The uniform set of generators is minimal in the sense that the homomorphism h is injective in degree $\leq n$ (Lemma 10). In that sense, one may view $\mathcal{R}^{[\infty]}$ as the stable cohomology ring as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Several authors found generators for the cohomology ring of a moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of stable sheaves on an algebraic variety X. The Künneth factors of the Chern classes of a universal sheaf are the most natural cohomology classes on $\mathcal{M}$. Atiyah and Bott proved that the universal classes generate the cohomology ring when X is a curve [AB]. When $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, Ellingsrud and Strømme [ES] proved a (stronger) version of Theorem 1 on the level of Chow rings. Beauville generalized the results of Atiyah-Bott and Ellingsrud-Strømme and proved the analogue of Theorem 1 for certain moduli spaces, when X is a rational or ruled surface. When X is a projective surface, the product $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ is stratified by the dimension of the extension group $\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(E, F)$ at each point representing a pair of sheaves (E, F). Beauville dealt with the case of a trivial stratification. He observed that, if the extension groups $\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(E, F)$ vanish identically, then the diagonal is the degeneracy locus, of expected dimension, of a homomorphism between two vector bundle over $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$. Theorem 1 follows, in Beauville's case, from Porteous' formula. In this paper we treat the next simplest case. The diagonal is the single non-trivial stratum in the stratification of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, when the canonical line-bundle of X is trivial. Beauville's result was generalized recently (and independently) in a different direction: Wei-Ping Li, Zhenbo Qin, and Weiqiang Wang found generators for the cohomology ring of Hilbert schemes of points on every smooth projective surface X [LQW].

The motivation for the results in the present paper came from the study of the monodromy of moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3 surface S [Ma2]. The cohomology

---

[1] Partially supported by NSF grant number DMS-9802532

ring $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is endowed with a natural symmetric pairing $(\alpha,\beta) := \int_S \alpha^\vee \cup \beta \cup td_S$, known as the Mukai pairing. The involution $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\vee$ acts by -1 on $H^2(S)$. Isometries of $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$, which preserve the Hodge structure, lift to auto-equivalences of the derived category of S [Or]. It is natural to ask if isometries of $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$ lift to symmetries of moduli spaces of stable sheaves on S. Given an algebraic class $v \in H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$, denote by $\mathcal{M}(v)$ the moduli space of stable sheaves with Chern character v. In a separate paper [Ma2], we show that the subgroup of the isometry group of $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$, stabilizing a Chern character v, lifts to a subgroup of automorphisms of the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{M}(v),\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, each such automorphism is a monodromy operator for some deformation of the complex structure of $\mathcal{M}(v)$ (see [Ma1] for a special case). A crucial ingredient, in the proof of these results, is a formula for the monodromy automorphism γ_g in terms of the isometry g of $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$ and the universal sheaf on $\mathcal{M} \times S$. The present paper provides the formula (1) in case g is the identity.

Acknowledgments: It is a pleasure to acknowledge fruitful conversations with D. Huybrechts, S. Mukai, F. Sottile, S. Wang, and K. Yoshioka. I would like to thank T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of our proof of Theorem 7. I thank K. Ogrady for pointing out a mistake in the previous version of Claim 5. I thank the referee for correcting a mistake in part 3 of Theorem 1 and for several remarks improving the exposition.

Note: After the completion of this paper, M. Lehn and C. Sorger computed the ring structure of the cohomology of Hilbert schemes for K3 surfaces [LS].

2 The diagonal in terms of Chern classes of a universal sheaf

Let S be a K3 or abelian surface, $\mathcal{L}$ an ample line bundle on S, and $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}(r,c_1,c_2)$ the moduli space of $\mathcal{L}$-stable sheaves of rank $r \geq 0$ and Chern classes c_1 and c_2. $\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth and symplectic quasi-projective variety [Mu1]. When the rank is 1, $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme of zero-dimensional subschemes of length c_2. If the Chern character $r + c_1 + [\frac{(c_1)^2}{2} - c_2]$ is an indivisible class in the cohomology ring $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$, then there exists an ample line-bundle $\mathcal{L}$ for which $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}(r,c_1,c_2)$ is complete [Y1]. We do not assume completeness of $\mathcal{M}$ in the proof of Theorem 1. Denote by π_{ij} the projection from $\mathcal{M} \times S \times \mathcal{M}$ onto the product of the i-th and j-th factors. Given a flat projective morphism $\pi : X \to Y$ and two coherent sheaves $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$ on X, we denote by $\mathcal{E}xt^i_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ the i-th relative extension sheaf on Y and by

$$\mathcal{E}xt^!_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}) \;\; = \;\; \sum (-1)^i \mathcal{E}xt^i_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$$

the corresponding class in the Grothendieck K-group of Y.

Assume that there exists a universal family over $\mathcal{M} \times S$ (this assumption is dropped in Section 3).

Theorem 1 *Let m be the dimension of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$, $\mathcal{E}''$ any two universal families of sheaves over $\mathcal{M} \times S$.*

1. *The class of the diagonal in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ is identified by*
$$c_m \left[- \mathcal{E}xt^!_{\pi_{13}} \left(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')\right)\right]. \tag{1}$$
2. *The class $c_{m-1} \left[- \mathcal{E}xt^!_{\pi_{13}} \left(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')\right)\right]$ vanishes.*
3. *The class $c_{m-1} \left[\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}} \left(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')\right)\right]$ vanishes and $c_m \left[\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}} \left(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')\right)\right]$ is $1-(m-1)!$ times the class of the diagonal.*

An immediate corollary of the Theorem is:

Corollary 2 *If $\mathcal{M}$ is complete, then the Künneth factors of the Chern classes of any universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{M} \times S$ generate the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof: We use Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch in order to express the class (1) as a formula of the Chern characters of $\mathcal{E}'$ and $\mathcal{E}''$. Given a variety M, we denote by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \ell \ : \ \oplus_i H^{2i}(M, \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_i H^{2i}(M, \mathbb{Q}) \\ (r + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots) & \mapsto & 1 + a_1 + (\frac{1}{2}a_1^2 - a_2) + \cdots \end{array}$$

the universal polynomial map which takes the exponential chern character of a complex of sheaves to its total chern class. Denote by π_i the projection from $M \times S \times M$ on the i-th factor. Given classes $\alpha_i \in H^*(M \times S, \mathbb{Q})$ we set:

$$\gamma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \ = \ c_m \left(\left\{ \ell \left(\pi_{13_*} \left[\pi^*_{12}(\alpha_1)^\vee \cdot \pi^*_{23}(\alpha_2) \cdot \pi^*_2(td_S) \right] \right) \right\}^{-1} \right). \tag{2}$$

With the above notation, Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch yields the equality

$$\gamma(ch(\mathcal{E}'), ch(\mathcal{E}'')) \ = \ c_m \left\{ -\mathcal{E}xt^!_{\pi_{13}}(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')) \right\}.$$

The left hand Künneth factors of $\gamma(ch(\mathcal{E}'), ch(\mathcal{E}''))$ are contained in the subring generated by the Künneth factors of $ch(\mathcal{E}')$. □

Remark 3 1. Note, in particular, that the class (1) is independent of the choice of the universal families. We will need in Section 3 the independence of the class (2) with respect to twists of a universal sheaf by $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisors. Denote the Neron-Severi group of $\mathcal{M}$ by $NS_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let us fix $\mathcal{E}$ and consider the map $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}$, given by

$$(L', L'') \ \mapsto \ \gamma(ch(\mathcal{E}) \cdot ch(L'), ch(\mathcal{E}) \cdot ch(L'')),$$

from the vector space $NS_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus 2}$ to $H^{2m}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Q})$. It is a polynomial map, which is constant along the integral lattice $NS_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus NS_{\mathcal{M}}$. We conclude that $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}$ is constant on the whole vector space.

2. When $m = 2$, Theorem 1 follows easily from the vanishing of $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}\left(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')\right)$ ([Mu2] Proposition 4.10). In general, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}\left(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')\right)$ is a line bundle over the diagonal. Hence, the class of the diagonal is represented simply by
$$\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \cdot c_m \left[\mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}\left(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')\right)\right] \tag{3}$$
(see Example 15.3.1 page 297 in [Fu] concerning the coefficient). Parts 1 and 2 of Theorem 1 are thus equivalent to the identification of the classes $c_i\left[\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}\left(\pi^*_{12}(\mathcal{E}'), \pi^*_{23}(\mathcal{E}'')\right)\right]$, $i = m, m-1$ in Part 3. When $m > 2$, it seems easier to prove first Parts 1 and 2 of the Theorem.

Given a complex $E_\bullet$ of coherent sheaves, denote by $\Delta^{(m+1-t)}_t(E_\bullet)$ the determinant of the square $(m+1-t) \times (m+1-t)$ matrix whose (i, j) entry is the Chern class $c_{j-i+t}(E_\bullet)$. For example,
$$\Delta^{(m)}_1(E_\bullet) \ := \left| \begin{array}{ccccccc} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & \cdots & & c_m \\ 1 & c_1 & c_2 & & & & c_{m-1} \\ 0 & 1 & c_1 & \cdot & & & c_{m-2} \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ \vdots & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \vdots \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & c_2 \\ 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & 1 & c_1 \end{array} \right| (E_\bullet) \tag{4}$$
while
$$\Delta^{(1)}_m(E_\bullet) \ := \ c_m(E_\bullet).$$
Note that we have the equality $\Delta^{(m)}_1(E_\bullet) = (-1)^m c_m(-E_\bullet)$ (Lemma 14.5.1 and Example 14.4.9 in [Fu]).

Proof: (of Theorem 1) Recall that $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth and symplectic [Mu1]. In particular, it is even dimensional. First, we construct a complex (11) of locally free sheaves on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, whose i-th sheaf cohomology is $\mathcal{E}xt^{i+1}_{\pi_{13}}(\pi^*_{12}\mathcal{E}', \pi^*_{23}\mathcal{E}'')$. Let $p_{\mathcal{M}}$ and p_S be the projections from $\mathcal{M} \times S$. Set
$$A_1 = [p^*_{\mathcal{M}} p_{\mathcal{M},*}\{\mathcal{E}' \otimes p^*_S \mathcal{L}^n\}] \otimes p^*_S \mathcal{L}^{-n}.$$
The evaluation homomorphism from A_1 onto $\mathcal{E}'$ gives rise to the short exact sequence
$$0 \to A_0 \to A_1 \to \mathcal{E}' \to 0$$
for n sufficiently large. In particular, A_1 is isomorphic to $\oplus^N \mathcal{L}^{-n}$ on each fiber. Below we abuse notation and omit the pullback symbol. We have the long exact sequence over $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad & \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_1, \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \quad & \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow 0 \qquad\qquad\quad \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \quad & \mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow 0 \qquad\qquad\quad \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'')$ vanishes. Denote $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_1, \mathcal{E}'')$ by V_{-1}. It is locally free. We get the short exact

$$0 \rightarrow V_{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow 0, \tag{6}$$

the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') \;\cong\; \mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}''), \tag{7}$$

$$\text{and the vanishing } \; \mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') = 0. \tag{8}$$

Choose a section γ of $\mathcal{L}^k$ and consider the short exact sequence defining the sheaf Q on $S \times \mathcal{M}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}'' \otimes \mathcal{L}^k \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 \;&:=\; \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'' \otimes \mathcal{L}^k) \;\text{ and} \\ V_1 \;&:=\; \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, Q). \end{aligned}$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'' \otimes \mathcal{L}^k)$ vanishes for positive k (use Serre's Duality and stability of E along each fiber $\{E\} \times S$, $E \in \mathcal{M}$). We claim that the sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'' \otimes \mathcal{L}^k)$ vanish for $i \geq 1$ and k sufficiently large. The latter vanishing follows from the analogue of the long exact sequence (5) with $\mathcal{E}''$ replaced by $\mathcal{E}'' \otimes \mathcal{L}^k$. We get the long exact

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad & \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{f} V_1 \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \quad & \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, Q) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \quad & 0 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

(here we used (8)). It follows that $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, Q)$ vanishes for $i \geq 1$ and both V_0 and V_1 are locally free sheaves on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ (the Cohomology and Base Change Theorem). Combining (7) and (9) we obtain a description of the diagonal as the degeneracy locus of the homomorphism of vector bundles f

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'') \xrightarrow{\iota} V_0 \xrightarrow{f} V_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'') \rightarrow 0. \tag{10}$$

Unfortunately, the homomorphism f is not a regular section of $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$. The expected codimension of the locus $D_t(f)$, where the corank of f is t, is given by the formula

$$\rho(t) = t(r_0 - r_1 + t).$$

In our case, $t = 1$ and $r_0 - r_1$ is equal to the rank of $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\pi_{13}}(A_0, \mathcal{E}'')$. By (6), the rank of the latter is equal to

$$(m-2) + \mathrm{rank}(V_{-1}),$$

which is very large. Thus, $D_1(g)$ is empty for a regular section g of $\mathrm{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$.

Combining (6) and (10) we get the injective composition

$$(\iota \circ \alpha) : V_{-1} \hookrightarrow V_0.$$

Its image is in the kernel of f. Set $g := \iota \circ \alpha$. We get the complex of vector bundles

$$V_{-1} \xrightarrow{g} V_0 \xrightarrow{f} V_1 \tag{11}$$

with sheaf cohomology $\mathcal{H}_{-1} = 0$, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'')$, and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'')$. It is easy to check that the sheaf cohomology of the complex dual to (11) is $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{E}xt^{i+1}_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{E}')$. Consequently, $coker(g^*) = \mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{E}')$ and is hence also supported as a line bundle on Δ. The Theorem now follows from Lemma 4. □

Lemma 4 *Let* $V_{-1} \xrightarrow{g} V_0 \xrightarrow{f} V_1$ *be a complex of locally free sheaves of ranks* r_{-1}, r_0, r_1 *on a smooth variety* M *satisfying*

1. *The sheaf cohomologies satisfy:* $\mathcal{H}_{-1} := ker(g) = 0$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_1 := coker(f)$ *is supported as a line bundle on a smooth subvariety* Δ *of pure codimension* m.
2. $m \geq 2$ *and* $-r_{-1} + r_0 - r_1 = m - 2$.
3. $coker(g^* : V_0^* \to V_{-1}^*)$ *is also supported as a line bundle on* Δ.

Then

1. $c_m(V_\bullet) := c_m(V_0 - V_1 - V_{-1}) = \begin{cases} [\Delta] & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases},$
2. $c_{m-1}(V_\bullet) = 0$,
3. *The class* $c_{m-1}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ *vanishes and* $c_m(\mathcal{H}_0) = \begin{cases} (1-(m-1)!) \cdot [\Delta] & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ (m-1)! \cdot [\Delta] & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

Proof: (of Lemma 4) The first degeneracy class of a homomorphism $h : E \to F$ is given, up to sign, by the Chern class $c_{f-e+1}(F - E)$, where e and f are the ranks of the vector bundles E and F. We begin with a construction, encoding the data of the complex (11) in a homomorphism (15) between two vector bundles, the ranks of which differ by $m-1$.

Let K be the kernel of

$$(V_{-1})_{|\Delta} \longrightarrow (V_0)_{|\Delta}$$

and denote by $\overline{V}_{-1}$ the quotient

$$0 \to K \to (V_{-1})_{|\Delta} \to \overline{V}_{-1} \to 0.$$

Let

$$b \ : \ X \ \longrightarrow \ M \times M$$

be the blow up of Δ. Denote by $D \subset X$ the exceptional divisor, $\iota : D \hookrightarrow X$ its embedding, and let $\beta : D \to \Delta$ be the restriction of b. Define U to be the elementary transform of b^*V_{-1} along D

$$0 \to U \to b^*(V_{-1})(D) \to (\beta^*\overline{V}_{-1})(D) \to 0.$$

U corresponds to the sheaf of meromorphic sections of b^*V_{-1} with, at worst, a simple pole along D and "polar tail" in $K(D)$. We get the short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{rcll} 0 \to b^*V_{-1} \to & U & \to \iota_*(\beta^*K)(D) \to 0 & \text{and} \qquad (12) \\ 0 \to \beta^*\overline{V}_{-1} \to & U_{|_D} & \to (\beta^*K)(D) \to 0 & \qquad (13) \end{array}$$

and the complex (not exact)

$$U \to b^*V_0 \xrightarrow{b^*(f)} b^*V_1.$$

Proof of part 1 of Lemma 4: Let $\pi \ : \ \mathbb{P}U^* \ \to \ X$ be the bundle of hyperplanes in U and set $u := r_{-1} - 1$ to be the dimension of its fibers. Denote by $\widetilde{D}$ the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(D)$ in $\mathbb{P}U^*$. We get the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}U^* \arrow[r, "\pi"] & X \arrow[r, "b"] & M \times M \\
\widetilde{D} \arrow[u, "\tilde{\iota}"'] \arrow[r, "p"] & D \arrow[u, "\iota"'] \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \Delta \arrow[u, "e"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let

$$0 \to \tau \to \pi^*U \to q \to 0 \qquad (14)$$

be the short exact sequence of the tautological sub and quotient bundles. Note that q is the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}U^*}(1)$. Denote by $\overline{V}_0$ the quotient

$$\overline{V}_0 \ := \ (\pi^*b^*V_0)/\tau$$

and by $\bar{r}_0$ its rank. We get the induced homomorphism

$$\bar{f} \ : \ \overline{V}_0 \ \to \ \pi^*b^*V_1. \qquad (15)$$

Note that $\bar{r}_0 - r_1 = m - 1$. However, the homomorphism $\bar{f}$ is *not* a regular section of $\mathrm{Hom}(\overline{V}_0, \pi^*b^*V_1)$. We have the following relation in the cohomology ring of $\mathbb{P}U^*$:

$$c_1(q)^{u+1} + \pi^*(c_1(U^*))c_1(q)^u + \cdots + \pi^*c_{u+1}(U^*) \ = \ 0. \qquad (16)$$

Set

$$\alpha \ := \ c_1(q)^u - c_1(q)^{u-1}\pi^*(c_1(U)).$$

Then α satisfies:

i) $\pi_*(\alpha \cdot c_1(q)) \ = \ 0$ and

ii) The restriction of α to a fiber of $\pi : \mathbb{P}U^* \to X$ is the fundamental class of the fiber.

We will prove part 1 of the Lemma by calculating a cohomology class in two ways:

Claim 5 *1.* $b_*\pi_*\left[\alpha \cup \Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f})\right] \ = \ (-1)^m \cdot (c_m(V_\bullet) - [\Delta]).$

2. $b_*\pi_*\left[\alpha \cup \Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f})\right] \ = \ \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if} \ \ m \textit{ is even} \\ [\Delta] & \textit{if} \ \ m \textit{ is odd} \end{cases}$

Proof: Part 1 of the Claim is proven via a straight-forward calculation. We have

$$\Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f}) \ := \ \Delta_1^{(m)}(\pi^*b^*V_1 - \overline{V}_0) \ = \ (-1)^m c_m(\overline{V}_0 - \pi^*b^*V_1). \tag{17}$$

The Chern polynomials of the various bundles are related by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} c(\tau) &= \pi^*c(U)/c(q), \\ c(\overline{V}_0) &= \pi^*b^*c(V_0)/c(\tau) \ = \ \pi^*[b^*c(V_0)/c(U)] \cdot [1 + c_1(q)], \\ c(\overline{V}_0 - \pi^*b^*V_1) &= \pi^*\left[\frac{b^*c(V_0)}{b^*c(V_1)\cdot c(U)}\right] \cdot [1 + c_1(q)]. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Combining equations (17) and (18) yields

$$\Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f}) \ = \ (-1)^m \left\{\pi^*c_m[-U + b^*V_0 - b^*V_1] \ + \ \pi^*c_{m-1}[-U + b^*V_0 - b^*V_1] \cdot c_1(q)\right\}. \tag{19}$$

The choice of α implies the equality

$$\pi_*\left[\alpha \cup \Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f})\right] \ = \ (-1)^m c_m(-U + b^*V_0 - b^*V_1). \tag{20}$$

Now, equation (12) yields $c(U) \ = \ b^*c(V_{-1}) \cdot c[\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D)]$ and

$$c(-U) \ = \ b^*c(-V_{-1}) \cdot c[\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D)]^{-1}.$$

Equation (20) becomes

$$\pi_*\left[\alpha \cup \Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f})\right] \ = \ (-1)^m \cdot \left\{b^*c(V_\bullet) \cdot c[\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D)]^{-1}\right\}_m$$

and the projection formula yields

$$b_*\pi_*\left[\alpha \cup \Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f})\right] = (-1)^m \cdot \left\{c(V_\bullet) \cdot b_*\left(c[\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D)]^{-1}\right)\right\}_m. \tag{21}$$

Riemann-Roch without denominators implies the equality

$$c[\iota_*(\beta^*K)] \;=\; 1+\iota_*P(c_1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)),\beta^*c_1(K)),$$

where P is a universal polynomial in two variables (Theorem 15.3 page 297 in [Fu]). The push-forward $b_*\{\iota_*(\beta^*c_1(K)^i)\cdot[D]^j\}$ vanishes for $j<m-1$. If $j=m-1$ and $i>0$, then the push-forward has degree $>m$. It follows that the first m terms of $b_*\{c[\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D)]^{-1}\}$ are equal to those of $b_*\{c[\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D(D)]^{-1}\}$. Calculating, we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b_*\{c[\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D)]^{-1}\} & \overset{\text{up to degree } m}{=} & b_*\{c[\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D(D)]^{-1}\} \;=\; b_*\{c[\mathcal{O}_X(D)-\mathcal{O}_X]^{-1}\} \;= \\ b_*\{[1+D]^{-1}\} & \overset{\text{up to degree } m}{=} & b_*\{1-D+D^2+\cdots\,(-1)^mD^m\} \;= \\ 1+(-1)^{2m-1}[\Delta] & = & 1-[\Delta]. \end{array} \tag{22}$$

Part 1 of Claim 5 follows from Equations (21) and (22).

Part 2 of Claim 5 is proven using the Excess Porteous Formula. Define Z by the exact sequence

$$0\to Z\to(b^*V_0)_{|D}\to(b^*V_1)_{|D}\to\beta^*\mathcal{H}_1\to 0.$$

Z is a vector bundle over D of rank r_0-r_1+1. Let $\overline{Z}$ be the rank m vector bundle

$$\overline{Z} \;:=\; \pi^*Z/\tau.$$

Recall that $\widetilde{D}$ is the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(D)$ in $\mathbb{P}U^*$. We get the exact sequence

$$0\to\overline{Z}\to(\overline{V}_0)_{|_{\widetilde{D}}}\xrightarrow{\bar{f}_{|_{\widetilde{D}}}}\pi^*b^*(V_1)_{|\Delta}\to p^*\beta^*\mathcal{H}_1\to 0.$$

By the excess Porteous Formula ([Fu] Example 14.4.7 page 258)

$$\Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f}) \;=\; \tilde{\iota}_*c_{\bar{r}_0-r_1}\left[\overline{Z}^\vee\otimes p^*\beta^*\mathcal{H}_1\;-\;\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}}(\widetilde{D})\right] \;=\; \tilde{\iota}_*c_{m-1}\left[\overline{Z}^\vee\otimes p^*\beta^*\mathcal{H}_1\;-\;\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}}(\widetilde{D})\right] \tag{23}$$

as the pushforward to $\mathbb{P}U^*$ of a class in the Chow group $A^{m-1}(\widetilde{D})$ of codimension $m-1$ in $\widetilde{D}$. *Note: Here we use assumption 2 of Lemma 4.*

Given any class c in $A^{m-1}(\widetilde{D})$, we have

$$b_*\pi_*[\alpha\cdot\tilde{\iota}_*(c)] \;=\; b_*\pi_*[\tilde{\iota}_*\{\tilde{\iota}^*(\alpha)\cdot c\}] \;=\; e_*\beta_*[p_*\{\tilde{\iota}^*(\alpha)\cdot c\}].$$

Thus, part 2 of the Claim would follow from the equality

$$(\beta\circ p)_*\left\{\tilde{\iota}^*(\alpha)\cdot c_{m-1}\left[\overline{Z}^\vee\otimes p^*\beta^*\mathcal{H}_1\;-\;\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}}(\widetilde{D})\right]\right\} \;=\; \left\{\begin{array}{cl} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ [\Delta] & \text{if } m \text{ is odd}\end{array}\right. \tag{24}$$

Denote by D_x and $\widetilde{D}_x$ the fibers of D and $\widetilde{D}$ over $x\in\Delta$. Let δ be the restriction of the class $\pi^*c_1(\mathcal{O}_D(D))$ to $A^1(\widetilde{D}_x)$. Since $(\beta\circ p):\widetilde{D}\to\Delta$ is a fibration and Δ is of pure dimension m, equation (24) would follow from the equality of classes on the fiber of $(\beta \circ p)$

$$\left\{\tilde{\imath}^*(\alpha) \cdot c_{m-1}\left[\overline{Z}^\vee \otimes p^*\beta^*\mathcal{H}_1 \;-\; \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}}(\widetilde{D})\right]\right\}_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}} \;=\; \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } \; m \text{ is even} \\ [\mathrm{pt}] & \text{if } \; m \text{ is odd} \end{array}\right. \tag{25}$$

The exact sequence (13) and the triviality of $(\beta^*\overline{V}_{-1})_{|_{D_x}}$ yields

$$c(U_{|_{D_x}}) \;=\; c(\mathcal{O}_{D_x}(D_x)) \;=\; 1+\delta.$$

Denote by η the class $c_1(q)_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}}$. From (14) we get the equality

$$c(\tau_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}}) \;=\; \frac{1+\delta}{1+\eta}.$$

Now, the exact sequence

$$0 \to \tau_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}} \to \pi^*(Z_{|_{D_x}}) \to \overline{Z}_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}} \to 0,$$

and the triviality of $Z_{|_{D_x}}$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} c(\overline{Z}_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}}) &\;=\; c(\tau_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}})^{-1} \;=\; \frac{1+\eta}{1+\delta} \quad \text{and} \\ c(\overline{Z}^\vee_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}}) &\;=\; \frac{1-\eta}{1-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, $c(-\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}_x}(\widetilde{D}_x)) = \frac{1}{1+\delta}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f})_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}} &\;=\; \left\{c(\overline{Z}^\vee_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}}) \cdot c(-\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}_x}(\widetilde{D}_x))\right\}_{m-1} \;=\; \left\{\frac{1-\eta}{1-\delta^2}\right\}_{m-1} \;= \\ &\;=\; \left\{(1-\eta)(1+\delta^2+\delta^4+\cdots\right\}_{m-1} \;= \\ &\;=\; \left\{\begin{array}{ll} -\eta\delta^{m-2} & \text{if } \; m \text{ is even} \\ \delta^{m-1} & \text{if } \; m \text{ is odd.} \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$$

The class α restricts to $\widetilde{D}_x$ as $\eta^u - \eta^{u-1}\delta$. The relation (16) yields

$$-\eta^{u+1}\delta^{m-2} \;=\; \eta^u(p^*c_1(U^*)_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}}) \cdot \delta^{m-2} \;=\; -\eta^u\delta^{m-1}.$$

Hence,

$$(\eta^u - \eta^{u-1}\delta) \cdot \Delta_1^{(m)}(\bar{f})_{|_{\widetilde{D}_x}} \;=\; \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } \; m \text{ is even} \\ \eta^u\delta^{m-1} & \text{if } \; m \text{ is odd.} \end{array}\right.$$

We have thus proven the equation (25) of classes on $\widetilde{D}_x$. This completes the proof of Claim 5. □

Proof of part 2 of Lemma 4: Denote the quotient b^*V_0/U by E. It is a vector bundle of rank $(m-2)+r_1$. We get the induced homomorphism

$$\phi \;:\; E \;\longrightarrow\; b^*V_1. \tag{26}$$

Again we calculate a cohomology class in two ways:

Claim 6 *1.* $b_*\Delta_1^{(m-1)}(\phi) \;=\; (-1)^{m-1}\cdot c_{m-1}(V_\bullet)$ *and*

2. $b_*\Delta_1^{(m-1)}(\phi) \;=\; 0.$

Proof: We verify part 1 by a straightforward calculation.

$$\begin{aligned}
b_*\Delta_1^{(m-1)}(\phi) \;&:=\; \Delta_1^{(m-1)}(b^*V_1 - E) \;=\; (-1)^{m-1}c_{m-1}(E - b^*V_1) \;= \\
&=\; (-1)^{m-1}b_*\left\{\frac{b^*c(V_0)}{b^*c(V_1)\cdot c(U)}\right\}_{m-1} \;= \\
&=\; (-1)^{m-1}b_*\left\{\frac{b^*c(V_0)}{b^*c(V_1)\cdot[b^*c(V_{-1})\cdot c(\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D))]}\right\}_{m-1} \;= \\
&=\; (-1)^{m-1}b_*\left\{b^*c(V_\bullet)\cdot[c(\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D))]^{-1}\right\}_{m-1} \;= \\
&=\; (-1)^{m-1}\left\{c(V_\bullet)\cdot b_*[c(\iota_*(\beta^*K)(D))]^{-1}\right\}_{m-1} \;= \\
&\overset{(22)}{=}\; (-1)^{m-1}\left\{c(V_\bullet)\cdot(1-[\Delta])\right\}_{m-1} \;=\; (-1)^{m-1}c_{m-1}(V_\bullet).
\end{aligned}$$

Part 2 of the claim follows easily from the Excess Porteous Formula. We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to (\beta^*K)(D) \to E_{|_D} \xrightarrow{\phi_{|_D}} (b^*V_1)_{|_D} \to \beta^*\mathcal{H}_1 \to 0.$$

By the Excess Porteous Formula, the class $\Delta_1^{(m-1)}(\phi)$ is the push-forward of the class

$$c_{m-2}\left\{[(\beta^*K)(D)]^\vee \otimes \beta^*\mathcal{H}_1 - \mathcal{O}_D(D)\right\}.$$

Since $b : D \to \Delta$ has $(m-1)$-dimensional fibers, the homomorphism from the codimension $(m-2)$ Chow group of D to the codimension $(m-1)$ Chow group of $M \times M$ vanishes. This completes the proof of Claim 6. $\square$

Proof of part 3 of Lemma 4: The sheaf $\mathcal{H}_1$ is supported as a line bundle on Δ. Hence, the first two terms of its total Chern class are

$$c(\mathcal{H}_1) \;=\; 1 + (-1)^{m-1}(m-1)!\cdot[\Delta] + \cdots$$

(Example 15.3.1, page 297 in [Fu]). We get the equality $c_m(-\mathcal{H}_1) = (-1)^m(m-1)!\cdot[\Delta]$. If m is even, Parts 1 and 2 of the Lemma imply the equalities

$$[\Delta] \;=\; c_m(V_\bullet) \;= \{c(-\mathcal{H}_1)\cdot c(\mathcal{H}_0)\}_m \;=\; c_m(-\mathcal{H}_1) + c_m(\mathcal{H}_0) \;=\; (m-1)!\cdot[\Delta] + c_m(\mathcal{H}_0)$$

and

$$0 \;=\; c_{m-1}(V_\bullet) \;=\; \{c(-\mathcal{H}_1)\cdot c(\mathcal{H}_0)\}_{m-1} \;=\; c_{m-1}(\mathcal{H}_0).$$

Hence, $c_m(\mathcal{H}_0) = [1-(m-1)!]\cdot[\Delta]$ and $c_{m-1}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ vanishes. In case m is odd, the proof is similar. This completes the proof of Lemma 4. $\square$

3 A generalization for semi-universal sheaves

We generalize Theorem 1 to the case where a universal family $\mathcal{E}$ does not exist globally. We define a class $ch(\mathcal{E})$ replacing the Chern character of a universal sheaf (see (27)). The class of the diagonal is then given by the topological formula $\gamma(ch(\mathcal{E}), ch(\mathcal{E}))$, which is the translation (2) of (1) via Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch.

Recall the construction of semi-universal families over $\mathcal{M} \times S$ ([Mu2] Theorem A.5). We start with a covering $\{U_i\}$ of $\mathcal{M}$, open in the étale or complex topology, and local universal families $\mathcal{E}_i$ over $U_i \times S$. We get the direct image vector bundles $V_i := p_*(\mathcal{E}_i \otimes L)$ over U_i, upon a choice of a sufficiently ample line bundle L on S. The families $\mathcal{E}_i \otimes V_i^*$ glue to a global semi-universal family $\mathcal{F}$. Denote by ρ the rank of V_i. The projective bundles $\mathbb{P}V_i$ glue to a global projective bundle $p : \mathbb{P}V \to \mathcal{M}$ (which need not be the projectivization of a vector bundle). The vector bundles $V_i^{\otimes \rho} \otimes (\overset{\rho}{\wedge} V_i^*)$ glue to a global vector bundle W. We get a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(\rho)$ over $\mathbb{P}V$, which restricts as the ρ-th power of the hyperplane bundle on each $\mathbb{P}^{\rho-1}$ fiber and such that $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(\rho)$ is a subbundle of W. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ the universal quotient sheaf of $p^*\mathcal{F}$. $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ restricts as $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes E$ to the $\mathbb{P}^{\rho-1} \times S$ fiber over $E \in \mathcal{M}$. Set

$$\widetilde{V}(1) \ := \ \mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_{1,*}}(p^*\mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

It is a rank ρ vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}V$. We get the relations

$$\begin{aligned} p^*\mathcal{F} &\cong [\widetilde{V}(1)]^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \qquad\qquad \text{and} \\ p^*W &\cong [\widetilde{V}(1)]^{\otimes \rho} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(-\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $ch(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(1))$ the ρ-th root of $ch(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(\rho))$ with 1 as the coefficient in degree zero. We conclude that the class $\alpha := ch(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \cdot ch(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(1))$ is the pullback of a class

$$ch(\mathcal{E}) \tag{27}$$

on $\mathcal{M} \times S$ satisfying $\left[\frac{ch(\mathcal{F})}{ch(\mathcal{E})}\right]^{\rho} = ch(W^*)$. The Chern classes of $ch(\mathcal{E})$ have rational coefficients. It is easy to check that the class $ch(\mathcal{E})$ is canonical, up to the product by the Chern character of a class in $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1 implies that the class

$$c_m \left\{ -\mathcal{E}xt^!_{\pi_{13}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \right) \right\} \tag{28}$$

is the pullback to $\mathbb{P}V \times \mathbb{P}V$ of the class of the diagonal in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, the class (28) is equal to $\gamma(ch(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}), ch(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$ as well as to $\gamma(\alpha, \alpha)$ (see Remark 3 part 1 for the latter equality). The equality $\alpha = p^*ch(\mathcal{E})$ implies the equality

$$\gamma(\alpha, \alpha) \ = \ (p \times p)^* \gamma(ch(\mathcal{E}), ch(\mathcal{E})).$$

We conclude that $\gamma(ch(\mathcal{E}), ch(\mathcal{E}))$ is the class of the diagonal in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ (since the pullback $(p \times p)^*$ is an injective homomorphism).

4 Higgs bundles

Let Σ be a smooth compact and connected algebraic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. A *Higgs bundle* on Σ is a pair of a vector bundle E and a 1-form valued endomorphism $\varphi : E \to E \otimes K_\Sigma$. Let $\mathcal{H}iggs$ be the moduli space of rank r semi-stable Higgs bundles of degree d. A theorem of Hitchin and Donaldson establishes that the moduli space $\mathcal{H}iggs(r, 0)$ is homeomorphic to the moduli space of semi-simple $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ representations of the fundamental group of the curve [Hi]. The moduli space $\mathcal{H}iggs$ parametrizes representations of the central extension of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ by $\mathbb{Z}$. The following is a recent result of T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus:

Theorem 7 *[HT1] Assume that r and d are coprime. The cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the Künneth factors of the Chern classes of the universal vector bundle.*

In a sequel paper, they calculated the relations in the rank 2 case [HT2]. In the rest of this section we deduce Theorem 7 from Theorem 1. The cotangent bundle $T^*\Sigma$ is a symplectic surface. There is a natural bijection between Higgs pairs (E, φ) on Σ and sheaves F on $T^*\Sigma$ with complete support of pure dimension 1. F is characterized by the two properties: 1) The support of F is the *spectral curve* C of (E, φ). 2) The pushforward $\pi_*(F)$ is isomorphic to E. If C is smooth, then F is a line bundle on C. More canonically, the Higgs field φ determines a homomorphism from the sheaf of commutative algebras $\oplus_{i=0}^{\infty}(K^{-i})$ to $\mathrm{End}(E)$. The former is the pushforward of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T^*\Sigma}$ of the cotangent bundle. Hence, E is the pushforward of an $\mathcal{O}_{T^*\Sigma}$-module F.

Let $S := \mathbb{P}[T^*\Sigma \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Sigma]$ be the compactification of $T^*\Sigma$. Denote the bundle map by $\pi : S \to \Sigma$ and let D_∞ be the section at infinity. Choose an ample line bundle A on S and consider the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of A-stable sheaves on S with rank 0, first Chern class $c_1 := r \cdot c_1[\pi^* K_\Sigma \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D_\infty)]$, and Euler characteristic $\chi := d + r(1-g)$. Stability of the Higgs pair is equivalent to A-stability of the sheaf F [Si]. $\mathcal{H}iggs$ is the Zariski open subset of $\mathcal{M}$ of sheaves with support disjoint from D_∞. The polarization A can be chosen so that every A-semi-stable sheaf is A-stable and $\mathcal{M}$ is thus compact. (Indeed, A can be chosen so that $A \cdot c_1$ and χ are coprime. Thus, A-slope-stability is equivalent to A-slope-semi-stability, where the slope is $\chi/(A \cdot c_1)$ and we define slope-stability as in [Le] Section 2.1). A universal sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{M}$ exists on $\mathcal{M} \times S$ because r and χ are coprime. (Indeed, if we set $B_1 = \mathcal{O}_S$ and let B_2 be the structure sheaf of a fiber of $\pi : S \to \Sigma$, then $\chi(F \cdot B_1) = \chi$ and $\chi(F \cdot B_2) = r$, for a sheaf F in $\mathcal{M}$. Now apply [HL] Theorem 4.6.5). Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{H}iggs \times S$.

The surface S is not symplectic. Nevertheless, $T^*\Sigma$ is symplectic and $\mathcal{F}$ is supported on a subscheme of $\mathcal{H}iggs \times T^*\Sigma$. It follows that $\mathcal{F} \otimes K_S$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, if F is a stable sheaf in $\mathcal{H}iggs$ and G is a stable sheaf in $\mathcal{M}$, then Serre's Duality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}^2_S(F, G) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(G, F \otimes K_S)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}(G, F)^*, \quad \text{and} \qquad (29) \\
\mathrm{Ext}^2_S(G, F) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(F, G \otimes K_S)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}(F \otimes K_S^{-1}, G)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}(F, G)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Let π_{ij} be the projection from $\mathcal{M} \times S \times \mathcal{H}iggs$ onto the product of the i-th and j-th factors. We see that the relative extension sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(\pi_{12}^*\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{M}, \pi_{23}^*\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{E}xt^2_{\pi_{13}}(\pi_{23}^*\mathcal{F}, \pi_{12}^*\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{M})$

are supported as line-bundles on the diagonal in $\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$. The proof of Theorem 1 implies that the class of the diagonal in $\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$ is given by $c_m\left[-\mathcal{E}xt^!_{\pi_{13}}\left(\pi_{12}^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}},\pi_{23}^*\mathcal{F}\right)\right]$ (smoothness of $\mathcal{M}$ is not needed because the diagonal in $\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$ is smooth and is contained in the smooth locus of the product. At this stage, the diagonal is a class in the Chow ring of $\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$, or in Borel-Moore homology).

Next, we prove that the Künneth factors of the Chern classes of $\mathcal{F}$ generate $H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs,\mathbb{Q})$. We will need an additional compactification. $\mathcal{H}iggs$ admits a natural compactification $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ with quotient singularities (an orbifold) [Ha, Sch]. The restriction homomorphism $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{H}},\mathbb{Q})\rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs,\mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. The surjectivity can be seen using the construction of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ via symplectic cuts [Ha]. The natural $U(1)$ action on $\mathcal{H}iggs$, which rescales the Higgs field, extends to a hamiltonian action on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. The complement $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{H}iggs$ in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is an irreducible divisor, which is pointwise fixed under the $U(1)$-action. The moment map $\mu:\overline{\mathcal{H}}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$, with respect to the Kähler symplectic structure of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, is a perfect Bott-Morse function ([K] Section 5.9). Moreover, the value of μ along $\mathcal{Z}$ is the maximal (critical) value [Ha]. Let $y_1,\ldots,y_k$ be the critical values of the moment map. Choose real numbers x_i so that $x_0<y_1<x_1<y_2<\cdots<y_k<x_k$. Set $X_i:=\mu^{-1}(x_0,x_i)$. We get the long exact sequences

$$\cdots\rightarrow H^*(X_{i+1},X_i)\ \rightarrow\ H^*(X_{i+1})\ \rightarrow\ H^*(X_i)\ \rightarrow\ \cdots$$

For μ to be perfect means that each of the long exact sequences above breaks up into short exact sequences. Now $X_k=\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and X_{k-1} is a deformation retract of $\mathcal{H}iggs$ via the downwards Morse flow [Mi]. It follows that the long exact sequence of pairs

$$\cdots\rightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{H}},\mathcal{H}iggs)\ \rightarrow\ H^*(\overline{\mathcal{H}})\ \rightarrow\ H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs)\ \rightarrow\ \cdots$$

breaks up into short exact sequences. In particular, we get the required surjectivity.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be a smooth compactification of $\mathcal{H}iggs$, which admits morphisms $f_{\mathcal{M}}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ and $f_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ (which restrict as the identity on $\mathcal{H}iggs$). For example, we can choose $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ as a resolution of the closure of the diagonal of $\mathcal{H}iggs\times\mathcal{H}iggs$ in $\mathcal{M}\times\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. The right hand Künneth factors of the diagonal in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\times\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ restrict to the right hand Künneth factors of the diagonal in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$. The Künneth factors of the diagonal in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\times\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ generate $H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$. The restriction homomorphism $H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})\rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs)$ is surjective because the restriction from $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ factors through $H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$. It follows that the Künneth factors of the diagonal in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$ generate $H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs,\mathbb{Q})$. The class of the diagonal in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$ is the pullback of the one in $\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$. It is given by $c_m\left[-\mathcal{E}xt^!_{\pi_{13}}\left(\pi_{12}^*f_{\mathcal{M}}^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}},\pi_{23}^*\mathcal{F}\right)\right]$. Consequently, the Künneth factors of the Chern classes of $\mathcal{F}$ generate $H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs,\mathbb{Q})$.

It remains to show that the subring of $H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs,\mathbb{Q})$, generated by the Künneth factors of the universal vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$, is equal to the subring generated by the Künneth factors of $\mathcal{F}$. The pushforward of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{H}iggs\times\Sigma$ is $\mathcal{E}$. Denote by $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ and π_S the projections from $\mathcal{H}iggs\times S$ and by $p_{\mathcal{H}}$ and p_{Σ} the projections from $\mathcal{H}iggs\times\Sigma$. The homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} ch(\mathcal{F})\ &:\ H^*(S,\mathbb{Q})\ \rightarrow\ H^*(\mathcal{H}iggs,\mathbb{Q}) \\ &\qquad\ \alpha\ \mapsto\ \pi_{\mathcal{H},*}[\pi_S^*(\alpha\cdot td_S)\cdot ch(\mathcal{F})] \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

factors through $H^*(T^*\Sigma,\mathbb{Q})$, and hence through $H^*(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q})$. Given a class α in $H^*(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q})$, we get the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\mathcal{H},*}\left[ch(\mathcal{F})\cdot\pi_S^*td_S\cdot(1\times\pi)^*p_\Sigma^*(\alpha)\right] &= p_{\mathcal{H},*}\left[(1\times\pi)_*\left\{ch(\mathcal{F})\cdot td_{(1\times\pi)}\right\}\cdot p_\Sigma^*(\alpha\cdot td_\Sigma)\right]\\ &= p_{\mathcal{H},*}\left[ch(\mathcal{E})\cdot p_\Sigma^*(\alpha\cdot td_\Sigma)\right],\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is by the projection formula and the second by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. It follows that the projection of the image of (30) into $H^i(\mathcal{H}iggs,\mathbb{Q})$ is equal to the subspace obtained by replacing S by Σ and $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{E}$. □

Remark 8 (Following a suggestion of M. Thaddeus) Theorem 7 was proven in the more general case of L-valued Higgs bundles, where $L=K_\Sigma(D)$, D an effective divisor on Σ [HT1]. The above argument applies also in the more general case. When $D>0$, the surface is $S:=\mathbb{P}[K_\Sigma(D)\oplus\mathcal{O}_\Sigma]$ and $K_S\cong\mathcal{O}_S(-2D_\infty-\pi^*D)$. The only difference is that the extension groups in (29) vanish. The formula for the diagonal in $\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{H}iggs$ is obtained, in this case, using Beauville's result [B2] (instead of Theorem 1).

5 The stable cohomology ring

Let S be a smooth, simply connected, projective surface and $\mathcal{E}$ the ideal sheaf of the universal subscheme in $S^{[n]}\times S$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}^{[n]}$ the weighted polynomial ring generated by the vector spaces (of "variables") $H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})$ in degree 2 and M_{2i}, $2\leq i\leq n$, in degree $2i$, where the vector space M_{2i} comes with a fixed isomorphism with $H^*(S,\mathbb{Q})$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}^{[n]}_k$ the summand of weighted degree k. Let $B_{2i}\subset H^*(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})$ be the image of $H^*(S,\mathbb{Q})$ under the homomorphism

$$H^*(S,\mathbb{Q})\ \cong\ H^*(S,\mathbb{Q})^*\ \stackrel{ch(\mathcal{E})}{\longrightarrow}\ H^*(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})\ \rightarrow\ H^{2i}(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q}). \tag{31}$$

We get a natural homomorphism of graded rings

$$h\ :\ \mathcal{R}^{[n]}\ \rightarrow\ H^*(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})$$

induced by mapping $\mathcal{R}^{[n]}_2$ isomorphically onto $H^2(S^{[n]})$ and mapping M_{2i} onto B_{2i} via the homomorphism (31).

Remark 9 Let S be a K3 surface and W the reflection group of $H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to Beauville's bilinear form [B1]. W is a finite index subgroup of the isometry group of $H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$. We show in [Ma2] that the Chern character $ch(\mathcal{E})$ admits a normalization, which is invariant under a diagonal action of W on $H^*(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})\otimes H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$. The normalization is obtained via multiplication by 1) the square root of the Todd class of S and 2) the Chern character of a class in $\mathrm{Pic}(S^{[n]})\otimes\mathbb{Q}$. W acts on the cohomology ring of $H^*(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$ as a subgroup of the monodromy group [Ma2]. W acts on $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$ because the latter contains $H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$ as a sub-lattice and Beauville's form is the restriction of Mukai's pairing [Y2]. The vector space $H^*(S,\mathbb{Q})$ decomposes as a direct sum of two irreducible representations of W; the trivial character and $H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})$.

$$H^*(S,\mathbb{Q}) \quad = \quad H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q}) \ \oplus \ 1.$$

Consequently, B_{2i} is a direct sum of at most two irreducible representations of W.

Assume that Corollary 2 holds for S. Then h is surjective and Göttsche's formula for the Betti numbers of $S^{[n]}$ implies the following lower bound on the degree of the relations:

Lemma 10 *1. h is surjective.*

2. h is injective in degree $\leq n = \frac{1}{4}\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(S^{[n]})$.

3. Let b_2 be the second Betti number of S. The first non-trivial summand of the relation ideal $I \subset \mathcal{R}^{[n]}$ is the one-dimensional summand I_{n+1} of degree $n+1$, if n is odd, or the (b_2+2)-dimensional summand I_{n+2}, if n is even. More generally, the dimension of I_k, k even in the range $n < k \leq \frac{4n}{3}$, is the sum of the two Betti numbers

$$\dim I_k \quad = \quad b_{2(k-n-1)}(S^{[n]}) + b_{2(k-n-2)}(S^{[n]}). \tag{32}$$

4. If S is a K3, then $H^*(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by H^2 and B_{2i}, $2 \leq i \leq n-1$.

In particular, B_{2i} is isomorphic to $H^*(S,\mathbb{Q})$, for $2i \leq n$, and the relations appear only in degree $> n$. Part 3 of Lemma 10 seems to suggest that, for n even, B_{n+2} is contained in the subring generated by $H^2(S^{[n]})$ and B_4, ..., B_n. We are led to the following

Question 1 *Is the ring $H^*(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})$ generated by H^2 and the B_{2i}, $2 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$?*

In the K3 case, the answer is affirmative for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ (part 4 of Lemma 10). The $n = 2$ case follows also from Proposition 13 because the dimension of $H^4(S^{[2]})$ is equal to that of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 H^2(S^{[2]})$. An affirmative answer for $n = 4$ seems very plausible in view of parts 3 and 4 of Lemma 10.

Lemma 10 may be interpreted as a computation of the stable cohomology ring of the Hilbert schemes. Recall that $H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 2$, is the direct sum

$$H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z}) \quad \cong \quad H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \ \oplus \ \mathbb{Z}\cdot\delta,$$

where δ is half the class of the big diagonal. Identify the weight 2 summand of $\mathcal{R}^{[n]}$, for all $n \geq 2$, define the homomorphism $\mathcal{R}^{[n+1]} \to \mathcal{R}^{[n]}$ by sending M_{2n+2} to zero, and let $\mathcal{R}^{[\infty]}$ be the inverse limit

$$\mathcal{R}^{[\infty]} \ := \ \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \mathcal{R}^{[n]}.$$

$\mathcal{R}^{[\infty]}$ is the weighted polynomial ring generated by H^2 and M_{2i}, $i \geq 2$. Then the graded kernel ideal of the surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{R}^{[\infty]} \to \mathcal{R}^{[n]} \to H^*(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial in degree $\leq n$.

The proof of Lemma 10 will depend on Lemmas 11 and 12. The reader may wish to use the table in Section 6 to test numerically various assertions made in the discussion below.

Lemma 11 *The dimension of $\mathcal{R}_k^{[\infty]}$ is the coefficient of t^k in the power series expansion of the infinite product*

$$\left[\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-t^{2m})^{b_2+1}}\right] \cdot \left[\prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-t^{2m}}\right]. \tag{33}$$

Proof: Let $x_{i,1}$, $1 \leq i \leq b_2+1$, be a basis of $\mathcal{R}_2^{[\infty]}$ and let $x_{i,m}$, $1 \leq i \leq b_2+2$, be a basis of M_{2m}, $m \geq 2$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}^{[\infty]} \to \mathbb{Q}[t]$$

sending $x_{i,m}$ to t^{2m}. Each monomial in the variables $x_{i,m}$ appears precisely once in the power series expansion of

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^{b_2+1} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x_{i,m}}\right] \cdot \left[\prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x_{b_2+2,m}}\right]. \tag{34}$$

Thus, the dimension of $\mathcal{R}_k^{[\infty]}$ is the coefficient of t^k in (33). □

Lemma 12 *The k-th Betti number of $S^{[n]}$ is equal to the coefficient of t^k in the power series expansion of (33), provided $n \geq k$.*

Proof: Göttsche's formula computes the k-th Betti number of $S^{[n]}$ as the coefficient of $t^k q^n$ in the power series expansion of

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-t^{2m-2}q^m) \cdot (1-t^{2m}q^m)^{b_2} \cdot (1-t^{2m+2}q^m)} \tag{35}$$

[G]. It will be convenient for us to rewrite it as the product of $\frac{1}{1-q}$ with

$$\left[\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-t^{2m}q^{m+1}}\right] \cdot \left[\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-t^{2m}q^m)^{b_2}}\right] \cdot \left[\prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-t^{2m}q^{m-1}}\right]. \tag{36}$$

The infinite product (36) is the image of (34) under the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}^{[\infty]} \to \mathbb{Q}[t,q] \tag{37}$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{b_2+2,m} &\mapsto t^{2m}q^{m-1}, \quad m \geq 2, \\ x_{b_2+1,m} &\mapsto t^{2m}q^{m+1}, \quad m \geq 1, \\ x_{i,m} &\mapsto t^{2m}q^{m}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq b_2, \text{ and } m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of t^k in (36) is zero, if k is odd, and is a polynomial in q,

$$c_k(q) = a_0q^k + a_1q^{k-1} + \cdots + a_{k-\lceil\frac{k}{4}\rceil}q^{\lceil\frac{k}{4}\rceil} \tag{38}$$

if k is even. The dimension of $\mathcal{R}_k^{[\infty]}$ is the value of $c_k(q)$ at $q = 1$. Clearly, the degree of $c_k(q)$ is k. Thus, the coefficient of t^kq^n in the power series expansion of $t^kc_k(q) \cdot \frac{1}{1-q}$ is $c_k(1)$, provided $n \geq k$. Hence, the coefficient of t^kq^n in Göttsche's formula is $c_k(1)$, which is the dimension of $\mathcal{R}_k^{[\infty]}$. More generally, the difference between the dimension of $\mathcal{R}_k^{[\infty]}$ and the k-th betti number $b_k(S^{[n]})$ of $S^{[n]}$ is given by the sum

$$\dim \mathcal{R}_k^{[\infty]} - b_k(S^{[n]}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-n-1} a_i. \tag{39}$$

$\square$

Proof of Lemma 10: 1) Corollary 2 implies that $H^*(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$ and the B_{2i}, $2 \leq i \leq 2n$. We need to show that it suffices to include B_{2i} in the range $2 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly, h surjects onto the cohomology of degree $\leq 2n$. But the cohomology of degree $\leq 2n$ generates the whole cohomology ring (this can be seen, for example, by Hard Lefschetz).

2) We need to prove injectivity in degree $\leq n$. The dimension of the space $\mathcal{R}_k^{[n]}$, of polynomials of weighted degree k in $\mathcal{R}^{[n]}$, is equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{R}_k^{[\infty]}$, if $k \leq 2n$. This dimension is calculated in Lemma 11 and is shown to be equal to the dimension of $H^k(S^{[n]})$ in Lemma 12, provided $k \leq n$.

3) The dimension of I_k is given by the sum (39). Let us compute the coefficient a_i in (38). The contribution to the coefficients of t^kq^n in (36) comes from monomials in the power series expansion of (34) under the homomorphism (37). Let us write these monomials in the form

$$(x_{b_2+1,1})^d f(\{x_{i,m} \ : \ (i,m) \neq (b_2+1,1)\}), \quad d \geq 0.$$

The (t,q) bi-degree of $f(x_{i,m})$ is $(k-2d, n-2d)$ and it satisfies $k-2d \geq \frac{4}{3}(n-2d)$ (with equality if f is a power of $x_{b_2+1,2}$). Thus, it suffices to count monomials $f(\{x_{i,m} \ : \ (i,m) \neq (b_2+1,1)\})$ whose image under the homomorphism (37) has bi-degree $(k-n+a, a)$, with $n-a$ even and a in the range $0 \leq a \leq n$. The assumption that $k \leq \frac{4n}{3}$ implies the inequality $a \leq n$. The condition that $n-a$ is even is always satisfied, because k is even and every monomial is sent by (37) to one with even t-degree. Hence, the number of such monomials is the coefficient of z^{k-n}, under composition of the homomorphism (37) with the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}[t,q] \ \rightarrow \ \mathbb{Q}[z,1/z]$$

sending t to z and q to $1/z$. The image of (36), with its first factor omitted (the factor corresponding to $x_{b_2+1,1}$), is the infinite product

$$\left[\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-z^m)^{b_2+1}}\right]\cdot\left[\prod_{m=3}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-z^m}\right] \ = \qquad (40)$$
$$1+(b_2+1)z+\frac{(b_2+1)(b_2+4)}{2}z^2+\ \cdots$$

The infinite product (40) is equal to the one obtained from (33) via the change of variable $z=t^2$ and multiplication by $(1-z^2)$. Hence, the coefficient of z^i in (40) is $\dim(\mathcal{R}_{2i}^{[\infty]})-\dim(\mathcal{R}_{2i-4}^{[\infty]})$. The dimension of I_k, k even in the range $n<k\leq\frac{4n}{3}$, is the sum of the coefficients of z^i, $0\leq i\leq k-n-1$, in the power series expansion of (40). The equality (32) follows.

4) Let Q be the quotient of $H^*(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})$ by the subring generated by $\oplus_{k=0}^{2n-2}H^k(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Q})$. Denote by $\overline{B}_{2n}$ the image of B_{2n} in Q. Assume that the homomorphism (31) is normalized as in Remark 9. Then $\overline{B}_{2n}$ is a sum of two W-representations $\overline{B}_{2n}=\overline{B}'_{2n}\oplus\overline{B}''_{2n}$. B'_{2n} is the image of the trivial W-character in the Mukai lattice $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$, under the normalized homomorphism (31) in degree $2n$. A priori, $\overline{B}'_{2n}$ is either zero or the trivial character and $\overline{B}''_{2n}$ is either zero or isomorphic to $H^2(S^{[n]})$. The trivial character is spanned by the Mukai vector $v=(1,0,1-n)$ of the ideal sheaf of n points. The Mukai vector $(1,0,1)$ of the trivial line-bundle is not orthogonal to v. Hence, the image of the line spanned by $(1,0,1)$ under (31) projects *onto* $\overline{B}'_{2n}$. Similarly, the W-orbit of the image of $(1,0,1)$ in $\overline{B}''_{2n}$ spans $\overline{B}''_{2n}$. Since the normalization of (31) involves classes in $H^2(S^{[n]})$, it suffices to show that the image of $(1,0,1)$ under (31) belongs to the subring generated by $H^k(S^{[n]})$, $k<2n$. Let $\mathcal{Z}\subset S^{[n]}\times S$ be the universal subscheme, $\mathcal{E}$ its ideal sheaf, and p the projection to $S^{[n]}$. We need to show that the Chern class $c_n(p_!\mathcal{E})$ belongs to the subring generated by $H^k(S^{[n]})$, $k<2n$. But $c_n(p_!\mathcal{E})$ is equal to $c_n(-p_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. The vector bundle $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a direct sum of the trivial line-bundle and a rank $n-1$ vector bundle. Hence, $c_n(-p_!\mathcal{E})=0$ and $c_n(p_!\mathcal{E})$ belongs to the subring generated by $H^k(S^{[n]})$, $k<2n$. $\square$

Let us recall Verbitsky's result about the subring A generated by $H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$, when S is a K3 surface:

Proposition 13 *[V, Bo, LL] Let X be a Kähler symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ and let A be the subalgebra of $H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$ spanned by $H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$. Then Poincare-Duality restricts to a perfect pairing on A, $H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})=A\oplus A^{\perp}$, and A is the quotient of* $\mathrm{Sym}^*H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ *by the ideal generated by the $n+1$ powers α^{n+1} for all $\alpha\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ isotropic with respect to Beauville's bilinear form.*

Example 14 Let us determine, up to one structure constant, the multiplication in the cohomology ring of the Hilbert scheme $S^{[3]}$, of length 3 subschemes of a K3 surface (see also [FG]). $H^*(S^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $H^2 := H^2(S^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$ and B_4 (part 4 of Lemma 10). The 4-th betti number of $S^{[3]}$ is 299. By Verbitzky's result, the 276-dimensional $\mathrm{Sym}^2 H^2$ embeds in $H^4(S^{[3]})$ (Proposition 13). Thus, $H^4(S^{[3]})$ is the direct sum of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 H^2$ and B_4'', where B_4'' is the 23 dimensional W-sub-representation of B_4 isomorphic to H^2 (see Remark 9). Moreover, B_4' is contained in $\mathrm{Sym}^2 H^2$.

The 6-th betti number of $S^{[3]}$ is 2554. Again, the 2300-dimensional $\mathrm{Sym}^3 H^2$ embeds in $H^6(S^{[3]})$. Let us compute the image of the homomorphism

$$H^2(S^{[3]}) \otimes B_4'' \ \rightarrow \ H^6(S^{[3]}). \tag{41}$$

$H^2(S^{[3]}) \otimes B_4''$ decomposes into three irreducible W-representations: $1 \oplus V(2) \oplus \overset{2}{\wedge} H^2$, where $V(d) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d H^2$, $d \geq 1$, is the irreducible W sub-representation spanned by d-th powers of isotropic vectors ([LL] Proposition 2.14). The W-decomposition of $\mathrm{Sym}^3 H^2$ is $uH^2 \oplus V(3)$, where $u \in \mathrm{Sym}^2 H^2$ is the inverse bilinear form. Hence, the image of $H^2(S^{[3]}) \otimes B_4''$ in $H^6(S^{[3]})$ intersects the image of $\mathrm{Sym}^3 H^2$ trivially. The image of the 275-dimensional sub-representation $V(2)$ of $H^2(S^{[3]}) \otimes B_4''$ is trivial because $275 > (2554 - 2300)$. Since H^2 and B_4'' generate the cohomology, the homomorphism (41) maps both the trivial character and the 253-dimensional $\overset{2}{\wedge} H^2$ injectively into $H^6(S^{[3]})$.

$H^6(S^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$ decomposes as the direct sum of 4 distinct irreducible representations

$$H^6(S^{[3]}) \quad = \quad uH^2 \oplus V(3) \oplus 1 \oplus \overset{2}{\wedge} H^2.$$

Hence, they are pairwise orthogonal with respect to the multiplication pairing. The multiplication pairing on H^6 is determined, up to 4 constants, by the W action. Two of the constants (for $uH^2 \oplus V(3)$) are accounted for by rescaling $H^{12}(S^{[3]})$ and by the structure of A in Proposition 13. One additional constant is eliminated by rescaling B_4''. We show below that the abstract ring structure is determined by the remaining single constant.

The ring structure is determined by the computation of the product of all triples of classes α_1, α_2, α_3, such that α_i is of pure degree, $\deg(\alpha_i) \leq 6$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \deg(\alpha_i) = 12$. The case where one of the α_i has degree 6 has been treated. The remaining case is when all three α_i are in $H^4(S^{[3]})$. If one of the α_i is in the image of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 H^2$, we can deduce the product from the previous cases. Thus, it remain to determine the homomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}^3 B_4'' \rightarrow H^{12}$. But $\mathrm{Sym}^2 B_4''$ decomposes as $1 \oplus V(2)$ and B_4'' does not appear in $\mathrm{Sym}^2 B_4''$. Hence, the homomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}^3 B_4'' \rightarrow H^{12}$ vanishes.

We have shown that the weighted polynomial ring, generated by H^2 and B_4'', surjects onto $H^*(S^{[3]}, \mathbb{Q})$ and determined its kernel, up to one structure constant. $\square$

6 Appendix: A table of Betti numbers

The (k, n) entry in the table below is the dimension of $H^k(S^{[n]})$ for a K3 surface S. It is calculated via Göttsche's formula (35).

n k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	22	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
4	1	276	299	300	300	300	300	300	300
6		23	2554	2852	2875	2876	2876	2876	2876
8		1	299	19298	22127	22426	22449	22450	22450
10			23	2852	125604	147431	150283	150582	150605
12			1	300	22127	727606	872162	894288	897141
14				23	2875	147431	3834308	4684044	4831451
16				1	300	22426	872162	18669447	23203208
18					23	2876	150283	4684044	84967890

Observe that $b_k(S^{[n]})$ stabilizes for a fixed even k and all $n \geq k$. For even $n \geq 4$, the difference $b_n(S^{[n]}) - b_n(S^{[n-2]})$ is 24, which is the dimension of $H^*(S)$ and is also the dimension of B_n, by Lemma 10.

References

[AB] Atiyah, M. and Bott, R.: *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces.* Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 308 (1983), no. 1505, 523-615.

[B1] Beauville, A.: *Varietes Kähleriennes dont la premiere classe de Chern est nulle.* J. Diff. Geom. 18, p. 755-782 (1983).

[B2] Beauville, A.: *Sur la cohomologie de certains espaces de modules de fibrés vectoriels.* Geometry and analysis (Bombay, 1992), 37–40, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1995.

[Bo] Bogomolov, F.: *On the cohomology ring of a simple hyper-kähler manifold.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 6, 612-618 (1996)

[ES] Ellingsrud, G., Strømme, S. A.: *Towards the Chow ring of the Hilbert scheme of* $\mathbb{P}^2$. J. Reine Angew. Math. 441 (1993), 33–44.

[FG] Fantechi, B., Göttsche, L.: *The cohomology ring of the Hilbert scheme of* 3 *points on a smooth projective variety.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 439 (1993), 147–158.

[Fu] Fulton, W.: *Intersection Theory.* Springer-Verlag 1984

[G] Göttsche, L.: *Hilbert Schemes of Zero-Dimensional Subschemes of Smooth Varieties.* LNM 1572, Springer-Verlag 1994

[Ha] Hausel, T.: *Compactification of moduli of Higgs bundles.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 503 (1998), 169–192.

[HT1] Hausel, T., Thaddeus, M.: *On the cohomology ring of the moduli space of Higgs bundles I: Generators of the ring.* math.AG/0003093

[HT2] Hausel, T., Thaddeus, M.: *On the cohomology ring of the moduli space of Higgs bundles II: Relations in rank 2.* math.AG/0003094

[Hi] Hitchin, N.: *The Self-Duality Equations on a Riemann Surface.* Proc. London Math. Soc., 55 (1987), 59-126.

[HL] Huybrechts, D., Lehn, M.: *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves.* Aspects of Mathematics, E31. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

[K] Kirwan, F.: *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry.* Princeton Univ. Press, 1984

[Le] Le Potier, J.: *Systémes cohérents et structures de niveau.* Astérisque 214, 1993

[LL] Looijenga, E., Lunts, V.: *A Lie algebra attached to a projective variety.* Invent. Math. 129 (1997), no. 2, 361–412.

[LQW] Wei-Ping Li, Zhenbo Qin, and Weiqiang, Wang: *Vertex algebras and the cohomology ring structure of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces,* math.AG/0009132. *Generators for the cohomology ring of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces,* math.AG/0009167

[LS] Lehn, M. and Sorger, C.: *The cup product of the Hilbert scheme for K3 surfaces.* math.AG/0012166

[Ma1] Markman, E.: *Brill-Noether duality for moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces.* math.AG/9901072, to appear in J. of Alg. Geom.

[Ma2] Markman, E.: *On the monodromy of moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces.* In preparation

[Mi] Milnor, J.: *Morse Theory.* Annals of Math. Stud. 51, Princeton, 1963.

[Mu1] Mukai, S.: *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*, Invent. math. 77,101-116 (1984)

[Mu2] Mukai, S.: *On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces I*, Vector bundles on algebraic varieties, Proc. Bombay Conference, 1984, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies, no. 11, Oxford University Press, 1987, pp. 341-413.

[Or] Orlov, D.: *Equivalences of derived categories and K3 surfaces.* Algebraic geometry, 7. J. Math. Sci. (New York) 84 (1997), no. 5, 1361-1381.

[Sch] Schmitt, A.: *Projective moduli for Hitchin pairs.* Internat. J. Math. 9 (1998), no. 1, 107–118.

[Si] Simpson, C.: *Moduli of Representations of the Fundamental Group of a Smooth Projective Variety. I and II.* Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 79 (1994), 47-129. and Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 80 (1994), 5-79.

[V] Verbitsky, M.: *Cohomology of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds and its applications.*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (1996), no. 4, 601–611.

[Y1] Yoshioka, K.: *Chamber structure of polarizations and the moduli of stable sheaves on a ruled surface.* Internat. J. Math. 7 (1996), no. 3, 411–431.

[Y2] Yoshioka, K.: *Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces.* math.AG/9907001

University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003
E-mail: markman@math.umass.edu